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## President's Message December 2017

It should not be a surprise but expenses keep rising. The Board has voted to pass along the $\$ 1.00$ surcharge for ACBL "Special Games" in 2018. The decision on including "Charity Games" will be made at our next meeting. It was also decided that fees for our Sectional Tournaments would increase from $\$ 10$ to $\$ 12$ starting with our Derby Day Sectional in May, 2018. We are among the last to still have a $\$ 10$ fee with some Units in District 10 going even higher.

The XMAS party scheduled for Saturday, December 2, 2017 has been canceled, due to a number of conflicting events and other recent developments.

The election for new members of your Board of Directors will be held the week of January 8, 2018 starting on Monday the $8^{\text {th }}$ and ending on Sunday the $14^{\text {th }}$ before the Swiss Team event at our Sectional. Candidate names and brief biographies should be on the web site and posted at the club. The annual meeting will be held during the break at the middle of the Swiss Team at which time the newly elected Board members will be announced and introduced. Please try to attend on Sunday even if you don't play in the event and for sure, PLEASE VOTE!!

Unit Vice President Larry Federico, Secretary Vicki Willis, Ben McKown and I will be leaving the Board after the election. It has been fun coupled with hard work. We all sincerely hope your enjoyment of this great game has increased during the year.

See you at the tables!!

## Jim Thornton

## December Events

*= extra points, no extra fees
Dec 2 Holiday Party Unit Championship* CANCELED
Dec 3 Unit Extended Team Game* Sun 1 pm
Dec 7-10 Baton Rouge Sectional Thurs-Sun
Dec 12 Charity Fund Game* Tues AM
Dec 15 Holiday Potluck Unit Champ*
Fri noon
Dec 28 Charity Fund Game Thurs 6:30PM

```
Rank Advancements
NEW JUNIOR MASTERS
Judy Bruce, Judith Danos, Sue Edwards,
Cheryl Hauver, Deborah Skorlich
NEW CLUB MASTERS
Gail Lewis, Valerie Schlesinger, John Treen
NEW SECTIONAL MASTER
Jane Robinson
NEW REGIONAL MASTERS
Gary Bergeron, Steven Plotkin
NEW NABC MASTERS
Irene Labiche, Alma Slatten
NEW LIFE MASTERS
Pat Emerson, Jim Kessler
NEW BRONZE LIFE MASTER
Sandra Weber
NEW GOLD LIFE MASTER
Dee Moses
```
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## 70 percent games

99er Nov 17 Ann Preaus \& Bette Marks 71.08\%
Nov 24 James H Reilly \& Becky Zaheri 73.33\%
499er Nov 17 Ronald Ocmond \& Steven Plotkin 70.00\%
Open Nov 21 Doug De Montluzin \& Emmanuel Benjamin 70.71\%

Nov 27 evening Pat Ellis \& Molly Sylvia 70.31\%
Nov 28 evening Helen St. Romain \& Nancy Gates 70.26\%

## In Memoriam

Esther Rosenberg

## Metairie Sectional Firsts

Thurs AM
0-20 Deborah Skorlich \& Mary Belcher
299er Lynn Flynn \& Rayne Lykes
Open JF Lowenstein \& Judy Katz; Juanita Heidingsfelder \&
Bobbie Gattuso Flt B; Paul Rosenblum\&Erin Fleming FIt C

## Thurs PM

299er Barbara Fitz-Hugh \& Elizabeth Cordes; Lynn Flynn \& Rayne Lykes Flt C
Open Chuck Pitard \& John Onstott; Carol Bagalman \& Debbie Rothschild FIt B
Fri AM
299er Mary Hanni \& Morris Place Jr; Myra Groome \& Diana Powell Flt E; Lorraine Friedrichs \& Janet Bean Flt F
Open Jerrilyn Stumpf \& Dee Moses; Karen Dugan \& Ellen Lappa FIt B
Fri PM
299er Mary Hanni \& Morris Place Jr; Dupree Parker \& Robert Teel Flt E
Open Paul Rosenblum \& Drew Casen; Nelson Daigle \& Arnaldo Partesotti Flt B; Fred \& Claudette Lay Flt C

## Sat AM

299er Diana Powell \& Janice Zazulak; Barbara Fitz-Hugh \& Elizabeth Cordes FIt E
Open Jim Thornton \& Marlene Ruckert; David Woods \& Stephen Kishner FIt B; Alan Jacobs \& Linda Jacobs Flt C Sat PM
299er Claudine Cannon \& Delphine Butler
Open Joan Van Geffen \& Jean Talbot; Cindy Lewis \&
Colleen Walker Flt B; Alan Jacobs \& Linda Jacobs--Sheryl Thompson \& Molly Sylvia Flt C (tie)

## Sun Swiss

Bkt 1 Carson Arnett-Rick Logan-Michael Moses-Arun Limaye
Bkt 2 David Wolf-Jim Thornton-Sherrie Goodman-Carol Bagalman

Some San Diego NABC achievements (more next month!)
Martha Robson, 4th Thurs 299er pairs flt B,
5th Thurs eve open FIt C
Reese Koppel 5th Fri eve Swiss Flt B, 1st Sat morn side pairs
JF Lowenstein \& Eleanor Onstott 7th Fri eve side pairs FIt B, 10th Sat BC Open Pairs, 1st Monday Daylight B/C Pairs
Sue Himel 19th Sat Daylight Open Pairs, 1st Sun Daylight ABC Swiss, 6th Mon Aft Side Pairs
JF Lowenstein \& Beth Todd 1st Sun BCD Swiss
Bob Bowers \& Jacob Karno 24th Super
Senior Pairs—platinum points!
Drew Casen-John Onstott 31/32 Mitchell
Open BAM—platinum points

## Wednesday Grand Slam Jackpots

Nov 8 Sherrie Goodman \& Carol Bagalman

Metairie Money Winners! For the November Sectional Swiss anonymous donors put up $\$ 100$ for the highest placing team with total master points under 2000 and another $\$ 100$ for the highest placing team with total points under 1000. Barbara Fitz-Hugh, Sheryl Thompson, Molly Silvia \& Debbie Rothschild won the under 2000 category. Carla Seyler, Lynden Swayze, Deborah Skorlich, and Diane Scott won the under 1000. In fact, their combined points were under 25 . Very brave of them to play......We are proud of them!

PAUL'S DEAL OF THE MONTH. This month we are dealing with a frequently encountered "unnecessary finesse," often referred to in bridge literature as the infamous "practice finesse." This type of finesse earns its name by virtue of the fact that if it wins it gains little or nothing and if it loses it maximally penalizes declarer's terribly flawed play of North the hand. Here is an example hand (see diagram at left) demonstrating this finessing error. In a typically A10 classic matchpoint auction seeking to reach a sensible 3NT contract, our heroic bidders discover a flaw fatal to that contract (no heart stopper) and redeem themselves by arriving at a possibly makeable
-AK108x
\&Kxxx alternative game contract of 5 C . The auction, with no interference: 1C-1D-1NT (balanced 12-14 hcp)-3C (invitational)-3S (maximum hand with a spade stopper but no heart stopper)-5C (also maximum with no heart stopper). The opponents, who could not miss the bidders' admission of no heart control, cash two
South
AAQx
*xx

- $9 x$
\&AQJ10x quick heart tricks, with East winning the second. Then East follows with the inevitable spade lead toward dummy's weakness. Well, Dear Reader, do you take the obvious spade finesse, with your powerful $\uparrow A Q x$ opposite dummy's $\mathbf{d} 10$ ? The answer is a resounding "NO." Why not? Because if it wins you still need the diamond finesse and if it loses you are already down one, even if the diamond finesse later wins. Your taking the spade finesse would be a glaring instance of a classic "unnecessary" or "practice" finesse. So up you go with the $₫ \mathrm{~A}$, followed by cashing one high diamond in case there is a bad diamond break of $\star x x x x / \star Q$, with a singleton $\uparrow$ Queen lurking in East's hand (and note the value of dummy's $\uparrow 8$ in case diamonds break $\uparrow$ Qxxx/*x), drawing trumps and then taking the diamond finesse, a basic win or lose $50 \%$ play. If it wins you make 5C by discarding your two losing spades on the long diamonds, irrespective of whether the spade finesse would have won or lost. If the diamond finesse loses you will sadly go down two for a bottom board, rejoicing in the knowledge that despite the poor result you played the hand correctly.


## Another Missed Slam By Arnaldo Partesotti

I recently ran into a nice slam, and promptly botched the bidding, but it could make for an interesting discussion between you and your trusted partner, so here it is (below left, hands rotated for convenience):

| Dealer W Vul E/W |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| North |  |
| -AK843 |  |
| v- |  |
| -AQ9 |  |
| \& 18643 |  |
| West | East |
| -17 | - Q |
| PQT98532 | $\checkmark 74$ |
| -43 | -JT652 |
| -52 | \&AQT97 |
| South |  |
| ¢T9652 |  |
| РAKJ6 |  |
| -K87 |  |
| ¢K |  |

At most tables West passed, North opened 14, South responded 2NT (Jacoby), North bid $3 P$ (his void) and most pairs stopped in 4a, making 6. When South learns of North's singleton or void in Hearts, he puts the brakes on. Six Spades however is lay down!
At our table things were more interesting because, after North opened 14, East interfered with 2NT for the minors. Here are your options as South:

- You could trap Pass for penalties. I would not even consider it because it does not tell partner of your spade support or the strength of your hand, and it might let the opponents find a good sacrifice. On the other hand you could learn more about the hand after West bids, and they are vulnerable...
- You could Double. Not in my book, because it tells partner that you are interested in penalizing at least one of the opponents' suits, and it does not tell him about the spade fit. Discuss with partner if you do not have a clear agreement on "double" in this situation.
- You could use Unusual vs. Unusual. This is the bid recommended in the books. You cue-bid "their" suits to show "your" suits: 30 shows a forcing hand in Hearts and $3 \diamond$ shows a forcing hand in Spades. There are variations, we will discuss them another time.
- You could Splinter 4\%. This is not recommended by the experts, you never splinter with a singleton K, but... you may downgrade the K, and learning of this singleton will be music for your partner's ears and probably drive you to slam.
- You could bid 4a. This is what I did, shame on me! This bid will put you in the vast majority, with a "minus" result. And, if you decide to shut your partner down, ask yourself where his opening points are.
- You could bid 4NT. Your partner does not know what you are doing, or anything about your hand, but it will bring you to an unbeatable slam. Better lucky than good!

Let's say that you bid the recommended $3 \diamond$, the bidding should be as follows:

| West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pass | 14 | 2NT | 3. |  |
| pass | 34 | pass | 49 | cue-bids |
| pass | 4 | pass | $4 \checkmark$ | cue-bids |
| pass | 49 | pass | 4NT | nothing else to say / RKCB1430 |
| pass | 5 | pass | 64 | 3 key-cards / life is good |

## Our Directors on Claims

We hope to change the format of our director columns on laws and ethics, to bring you what our directors as a group think are most important for you to know about various common procedural and ethical issues. In the new format there will be fewer detailed rundowns of the laws and more focus on key points. This particular column was written up by Sue Himel after discussion and consultation with other directors and experts. Comments and requests for future topics are invited.

Making a claim on a hand when the result is obvious saves time and effort for all players involved. However, if you claim in a sloppy manner and the opponents contest your claim it can actually take your table longer to finish the hand than if you had not claimed. You can avoid having your claim contested by facing your hand and making a clear statement about the line of play you plan to pursue. Don't forget to mention pulling any outstanding trumps or any finesses you plan to take. Tell the opponents about how you will play any long suits. If you do not include these plays in your claim statement the director will not allow you to make these plays should he be called to the table.
How many times have you made a claim and the opponents said, "Play it out"? Before the 2017 changes to the claim law playing out the hand after a claim was made was not permitted. It was necessary to call the director to adjudicate the claim.
Now, if all four players at the table agree, at the request of the side contesting the claim, the hand may be played out by the player who made the claim. The stated line of play is cancelled and the claimer picks up the hand and may take any line of play. No one should call the director because the results of playing out the hand will stand.
Should you ever allow play to continue when your side contests a claim? The authors of this column and several experts we consulted could not think of any instance where this would be to the advantage of a player objecting to a claim. When the director is called to adjudicate a contested claim the director is not limited to considering only your objection but may take into account any other circumstances that you may not have been anticipated when you objected to the claim. The director will protect your side to the fullest extent possible. If you allow play to continue, you forfeit that protection.
Perhaps this change to the law was made to legalize all the times a hand was played out after a claim was contested and the director was not called. But don't allow a hand to be played out when you disagree with a claim. If you do not agree with the claim call the director to receive a full measure of protection under the laws.

